IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS MARSHALL DIVISION

HEADWATER RESEARCH LLC,	§	
	§	
Plaintiff,	§	
	§	
V.	§	
	§	CIVIL ACTION NO. 2:23-CV-397-JRG-RSP
AT&T INC., AT&T SERVICES, INC.,	§	(LEAD CASE)
AT&T MOBILITY, LLC, and AT&T	§	,
CORP.,	§	
	§	
Defendants.	§	

REPORT AND RECOMMENDATION

Before the Court is the Motion Regarding Plaintiff Headwater Research LLC's Standing to bring this suit. **Dkt. No. 129**.

A substantively identical motion was filed in a parallel litigation: *Headwater Research LLC* v. *Verizon Communications Inc.*, et al, 2:23-cv-00352-JRG-RSP. *See* Dkt. No. 189 in 2:23-cv-00352.

For the reasons discussed in the Court's ruling on the parallel motion (Dkt. No. 292 in 2:23-cv-00352), the Court finds that Headwater has standing to bring this action and that, therefore, Defendants' Motion should be **DENIED**.

A party's failure to file written objections to the findings, conclusions and recommendations within 14 days bars that party from *de novo* review by the District Judge of those findings, conclusions, and recommendations and, except on grounds of plain error, from appellate review of unobjected-to factual findings and legal conclusions accepted and adopted by the district court. FED. R. CIV. P. 72(b)(2); *see also Douglass v. United Servs. Auto. Ass'n*, 79 F.3d 1415, 1428–29 (5th Cir. 1996) (*en banc*). Any objection to this Report and Recommendation must be

filed in ECF under the event "Objection to Report and Recommendation [cv, respoth]" or it may not be considered by the District Judge.

SIGNED this 6th day of July, 2025.

ROY S. PAYNE

UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE